



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,166	01/17/2002	Heinz Auer	50505	4816
26474	7590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER	
KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			PUTTLITZ, KARL J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/031,166	AUER ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karl J. Puttlitz	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-9</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Art Unit: 1621

Applicant's arguments fail to place the application in condition for allowance. Namely, Chang teaches that the prior art utilizes low concentration of catalyst. See column 1, lines 40-43. Therefore, using low concentrations of catalyst in conjunction with the claimed process is known in the art.

SAMUEL BARTS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 100